

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
THURSDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2013
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S118629**PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS, JR.,
(ROBERT LEE)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Werdegarr, and Corrigan, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Baxter, J.

-- joined by Chin, J.

S194951 A128647 First Appellate District, Div. 3**SANDER (RICHARD) v.
STATE BAR OF
CALIFORNIA**

Opinion filed: Affirmed in full with directions

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed. That court is directed to remand this case to the trial court for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

Majority Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

-- joined by Kennard, Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S203124**JERRY BEEMAN v. ANTHEM
PRESCRIPTION
MANAGEMENT LLC**

Opinion filed

For the reasons above, we answer the Ninth Circuit's question as follows: Section 2527 is subject to rational basis review under California's free speech guarantee (Cal. Const., art. I, § 2, subd. (a)) and satisfies that standard because it is reasonably related to a legitimate policy objective. We disapprove *ARP Pharmacy Services, Inc. v. Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc.*, *supra*, 138 Cal.App.4th 1307, to the extent it applied strict scrutiny to hold that section 2527 violates the free speech rights of prescription drug claims processors under the California Constitution.

Majority Opinion by Liu, J.

-- joined by Kennard, Baxter, and Werdegarr, JJ.

Concurring Opinion by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J.

Concurring and Dissenting Opinion by Corrigan, J.

-- joined by Chin, J.

S215102 F065620/F065401 Fifth Appellate District

**FRANKLIN (COURTNEY) v.
BAKERSFIELD MEMORIAL
HOSPITAL (PREMIER
ANESTHESIA MEDICAL
GROUP)**

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of defendants and respondents to this court, the above-entitled request for publication, filed December 9, 2013, is ordered withdrawn.

S094890

**PEOPLE v. MANIBUSAN
(JOSEPH KEKOA)**

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to February 28, 2014, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S091898

**PEOPLE v. RUBIO (GILBERT
RAUL)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tita Nguyen's representation that the respondent's brief is anticipated to be filed by December 30 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 30, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S096809

**PEOPLE v. POYNTON
(RICHARD JAMES)**

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that the appellant's reply brief is anticipated to be filed by April 30, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to February 14, 2014. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 74 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)